## Monitoring Data Record

Project Title: R-967CA (NC 24/27)	COE Action ID: <u>200331135</u>
Stream Name: <u>UT Big Meadow Creek (Site 1)</u>	
City, County and other Location Information: Locus	st, Stanley County, NC 24/27 (Sta. 17+00 -L-)
Date Construction Completed: 7-30-04	
Ecoregion: 8 digit HU	JC unit03040105
USGS Quad Name and Coordinates:	
Rosgen Classification: B Stream Type	
Length of Project: 318' Urban or Rural: Rura	
Monitoring DATA collected by: M. Green	Date:9/4/08
Applicant Information:	
Name: NCDOT - Roadside Environmer	
Address: 1425 Rock Quarry Rd., Raleigh	, NC , 27610
Telephone Number: (919) 861- 3772 Ema	
Consultant Infor	
Name:	
Address: l	
Telephone Number:	Email address:
Project Status:	<u> </u>
-	
Permit States: The permittee shall monitor the condonitoring Level 2 of the US Army Corps of Engir Guidelines of April 2003. The monitoring reports, in data and visual inspection notes identifying specific pre Engineers, Asheville Regulatory Field Office within 6 monitoring report will also include a discussion of any the stream relocation as project mitigation will be evaluated the reference Stream Mitigation Guidelines.	neers, Wilmington District, Stream Mitigation including reference photographs, plant survival roblem areas, will be submitted to the Corps of 60 days of completion of the monitoring. The deviations to channel stability. The success of
Section 1. <u>PHOTO REFERENCE SITES</u> (Monitoring at all levels must complete this section)	
Total number of reference photo locations at this sit looking upstream and downstream at each location.	
Dates reference photos have been taken at this site:	3/4/08, 9/4/08
Individual from whom additional photos can be obt	ained (name, address, phone):
Other Information relative to site photo reference: A sereport.	site map with photo point locations is attached to this

If required to complete Level 3 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 2.

### Section 2. <u>PLANT SURVIVAL</u> Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos.

Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings):
Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action:
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: The initial planting for the UT to Big Meadow Creek took place in 2004
Replanting took place on 3/4/08 with the following plant species: Type I - Silky Dogwood, Black Willow. Type II – Willow Oak, Tulip Poplar, and Water Oak. Other vegetation noted included fennel, pine, sweetgum, <i>Scripus</i> sp., baccharis, briars, lespedeza, mimosa, white oak, blackgum, and various grasses.

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

#### Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

**Visual Inspection:** The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing
or destabilizing situation.
UT to Big Meadow Creek is stable for the Year 1 Summer evaluation. NCDOT will continue to monitor this stream
relocation.

Date	Station	Station	Station	Station	Station
Inspected	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Structure					
Type					
Is water					
piping					
through or					
around					
structure?					
Head cut or					
down cut					
present?					
Bank or scour					
erosion					
present?					
Other					
problems					
noted?					

# UT Big Meadow Creek



Photo Point #1 (Upstream)



Photo Point #2 (Upstream)



Photo Point #3 (Upstream) Year 1 Summer – September 2008



Photo Point #1 (Downstream)



Photo Point #2 (Downstream)



Photo Point #3 (Downstream)

# UT Big Meadow Creek





Year 1 Summer – September 2008

